

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 12844.0045US01 10/682,229 10/08/2003 Suguru Tabara 8128 EXAMINER 23552 7590 10/31/2005 MERCHANT & GOULD PC GOUDREAU, GEORGE A P.O. BOX 2903 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903 1763

**DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/682,229	TABARA, SUGURU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	George A. Goudreau	1763
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror te, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 (	October 2005.	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-12</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, and 5-12</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyançe. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Burea		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receiv	. 🐧
	GEORGE C PRIMARY	GONDREAU CALL- EXAMINER
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	- ()(-)
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)
	, <u> </u>	

Application/Control Number: 10/682,229 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

1. Claims 1-3, and 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention.

-The scope of the preamble in claim 1 is not commensurate in scope with the

body of the claim. (i.e.-The preamble recites a method for patterning a thin

dielectric film while the body of the claim fails to recite such a feature.);

-The wording used throughout paragraph 3 of claim 1 is written in a very

confusing manner, and should be reworded. (i.e.- For example, what constitutes

"...an unnecessary portion..."? Also, how is "...a thickness of the dielectric film

provided under the grain boundary..."?); and

-Claim 3 is redundant upon claim 1.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims of record have been considered but

are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner

George A. Goudreau at telephone number (571)-272-1434.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1763